

PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

CR-102 (7/22/01)			
Do NOT use for expedited			
rule making			

1889				rule illakilig		
Agency: Agriculture			Original Notice			
☐ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 01-17-08 & 01-20-035; or				nental Notice		
Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR; or			to WSR			
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).			☐ Continu	ance of WSR		
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) Rules rela 228-1238.		ng the active ingr	edient clopyralid, WA	C 16-228-1235 through 16-		
Purpose: To restrict some uses of pesticides containing the active ingredient clopyralid. The restrictions are intended to prevent clopyralid residues in compost at levels that may be damaging to plants grown in or around compost.						
Other identifying information:						
(b) Statutory authority for adoption: Chapters 15.58, 17.21 & 34.05 RCW			Statute being implemented: Chapters 15.58 & 17.21 RCW			
(c) Summary: Turf clippings and other plant materials including agricultural plant materials, are often recycled to composting facilities. There is evidence that finished compost that has incorporated turf clippings treated with clopyralid as a feedstock may contain residues harmful to sensitive plant species. The clopyralid residues on turf clippings and other plant materials are not breaking down as rapidly as anticipated during the composting process. Reasons supporting proposal: The proposed restrictions are intended to prevent clopyralid residues in compost at levels that may be damaging to plants grown in or around compost.						
(d) Name of Agency Personnel Responsible				Telephone		
Drafting Cliff Weed Read Cliff Weed Cliff Weed	1111 Washington Street			360-902-2036 360-902-2036		
2. Implementation Cliff Weed Olympia, WA 98504 3. Enforcement Cliff Weed			360-902-2036			
(e) Name of proponent (person or organization	on): Department of Agricultu	ire		Private Public Governmental		
(f) Agency comments or recommendations,	if any, as to statutory lange	uage, implementa	tion, enforcement and			
(g) Is rule necessary because of: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? Ye	= -	yes, ATTACH CC	DPY OF TEXT			
State Court Decision? Ye						
(h) HEARING LOCATION:		Submit writte	n comments to:			
Four DIS Interactive Technologies sites simultane		Laurie Mauerman				
15 W. Yakima Ave., Suite 220, Yakima, WA 9 710 Sleater-Kinney Rd. SE, Ste. Q, Lacey, WA		Washington State Department of Agriculture PO Box 42560				
1101 N. Argonne, Ste. 109, Spokane, WA 9920		Olympia, WA 98504-2560				
1107 SW Grady Way, Suite 112, Renton, WA		FAX (360) 902-2093 By (date) 5:00 pm, 4/24/02				
Date: <u>4/23/02</u> Time: <u>6:00 pm</u>		DATE OF IN	TENDED ADOPTION:	5/13/02		
		BATE OF IIV	CODE REVISER			
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Laurie Mauerman by 4/16/02			CODE REVISER	USE ONLY		
TDD (360) <u>902-1996</u> or ()						
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)	=					
Bob Arrington						
SIGNATURE						
TITLE	DATE					
Assistant Director						

(j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticipated effects: The proposed rule places restrictions on pesticides containing the active ingredient clopyralid when labeled for use on cereal grains, grass used for hay, lawns and turf including golf courses. The rules spell out the restrictions and requirements for the sale, distribution and use on lawns and turf including golf courses. The proposed restrictions are intended to prevent clopyralid residues in compost at levels that may be damaging to plants grown in or around compost.
Does proposal change existing rules? ☐ YES ☒ NO If yes, describe changes:
(k) Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?
Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement. A copy of the statement may be obtained by writing to:
telephoning: () faxing: () No. Explain why no statement was prepared The Department of Agriculture's Pesticide Management Division conducted an economic impact survey of all Washington State licensed pesticide dealers and commercial applicators licensed to apply pesticides to turf and ornamental weeds. Based upon the results of that survey, the department has concluded that any new compliance costs imposed by the proposed new rules are not "more than minor," therefore a small business economic impact statement (SBEIS) is not required.
(I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption? ☐ Yes ☐ No Please explain: